



Women as patrons: Artistic vision, cultural agency, and legacy in Heather Rose's *The Museum of Modern Love*

Dr. M Mohamed Anwar¹, Dr. P Joshua Gnana Raj²

¹ Associate Professor of English, Jamal Mohamed College, Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

² Assistant Professor (T), Department of English, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

This article examines the multifaceted roles of women as patrons of art, architecture, and culture through a critical literary analysis of Heather Rose's *The Museum of Modern Love* (2016). The novel reveals that women's patronage extends far beyond financial sponsorship to encompass creative architecture, institutional mediation, embodied artistic labor, and emotional sustenance of cultural production. By analyzing the characters of Lydia Fiorentino (architect), Francesca Lang (institutional mediator), Marina Abramović (performance artist), Jane Miller (museum administrator), and Healayas Breen (musician and cultural host), this essay argues that women exercise distinctive forms of cultural agency that simultaneously empower and constrain their identities. The analysis integrates textual evidence with contemporary scholarship on gender, institutional power, and artistic patronage, demonstrating that literary representation serves as a crucial site for interrogating and recovering women's contributions to cultural production. The article contends that understanding women patrons requires abandoning hierarchical models of support in favor of relational frameworks that acknowledge interdependence, collective labor, and the often-invisible work through which women sustain and reshape artistic culture. Implications for literary studies, gender theory, and the historiography of patronage are considered, with recommendations for future research into institutional transformation, archival recovery, and the intersectional dynamics of female cultural authority.

Keywords: Women patrons, patronage, art and architecture, gender agency, literary analysis, cultural institutions

Introduction

Throughout history, women have functioned as significant patrons of art, architecture, and culture, yet their contributions remain inadequately recognized and theorized within both historical scholarship and contemporary institutional practice. Patronage—far more than financial sponsorship—constitutes a complex exercise of power, creativity, and cultural authority. From Renaissance figures such as Isabella d'Este to twentieth-century visionaries like Peggy Guggenheim, women have consistently demonstrated that patronage functions as a multivalent form of agency, enabling artistic innovation while simultaneously negotiating the constraints of patriarchal social structures (Fiveable, 2024) ^[5]. Yet institutional histories continue to minimize women's roles, framing them as supplements to—rather than architects of—cultural production.

Heather Rose's 2016 ^[12] novel *The Museum of Modern Love* offers a particularly sophisticated site for examining women's patronage through its sustained engagement with artistic production, institutional culture, and the often-invisible personal dynamics that sustain creative work. Centered on Marina Abramović's performance art installation *The Artist is Present* at the Museum of Modern Art (MoMA) in 2010, the novel weaves together multiple narrative threads that reveal how women exercise cultural authority through varied and interdependent means. The novel's richness lies not in celebrating women as passive supporters but in illuminating the complex negotiations through which women create spaces, broker relationships, embody artistic vision, administer institutions, and sustain creative communities.

This article argues that Rose's novel articulates an understanding of women's patronage as relational,

embodied, and constitutive of artistic culture itself. By analyzing five key female figures whose lives intersect with *The Artist is Present*, this essay demonstrates that women's cultural agency operates across multiple registers—the architectural, the institutional, the affective, and the performative—each revealing distinct dimensions of power, vulnerability, and transformation. Through close textual analysis informed by contemporary gender theory, this essay reveals how literary representation can interrogate the hidden architectures of cultural production and make visible the often-invisible labor through which women sustain artistic endeavor.

Women as Creative Architects: Lydia Fiorentino and the Spatial Dimensions of Patronage

Lydia Fiorentino exemplifies a distinctive form of women's patronage: the creation of aesthetic and spatial environments that enable human flourishing and artistic experience. An internationally renowned architect, Lydia does not simply design buildings; she constructs worlds of wonder and possibility. As the novel describes, "He had stood in her buildings and been in awe of her. Floors played music, ceilings rained and rooms were divided by live fish, butterflies, crickets. Holographic symbols were pinned to the night sky, a pedestrian bridge rolled up like a caterpillar, filaments of light made an ever-changing ceiling of rainbows, corridors rippled with laughter. In her buildings there was no separation between the interior and the exterior worlds" (Rose, 2016, p. 3) ^[12]. Lydia's architectural interventions function as elaborate acts of cultural patronage in which the creation of physical space becomes an expression of cultural vision and a gift to those who inhabit it.

The significance of Lydia's patronage extends beyond monumental public projects to encompass the democratization of aesthetic experience within domestic life. The novel notes that "The private homes she designed had Japanese maples inside the front door, waterfalls on rooftops, fragrant vertical interior gardens and streams running through bathrooms" (Rose, 2016, p. 3) ^[12]. Through residential design, Lydia enacts a philosophy grounded in the belief that all human beings deserve access to beauty, wonder, and artistic experience. By extending privileges traditionally reserved for museum visitors to intimate domestic spaces, she performs a form of cultural patronage that transcends class boundaries while affirming human dignity. This approach resonates with contemporary scholarship demonstrating that women patrons historically undertook "commissioning of new work—creating opportunity, not just owning objects" through which they made "possible what museums and galleries often reject as 'too risky' or 'unproven'" (Museum of Modern Art, 2025) ^[11].

However, Lydia's career simultaneously illustrates the costs and constraints accompanying women's professional achievement and cultural authority. By her mid-thirties, "she was so in demand that she could choose one or two commercial projects and a house or two to do each year. She liked to be there when Alice came home from school. She had a waiting list two years ahead. Invitations to travel and speak piled up on her desk. Awards and citations cluttered her shelves. Some days Levin wondered how to reach out and touch her. She seemed to belong to other people" (Rose, 2016, p. 3) ^[12]. This passage captures a fundamental paradox in women's professional success: achievement becomes fragmented across competing institutional, professional, and familial demands, rendering intimate relationships secondary. Women's cultural labor becomes distributed across multiple institutional contexts, making their primary relationships peripheral to their public visibility and impact. Lydia's eventual illness and withdrawal from professional practice marks a critical juncture in the novel's treatment of patronage and agency. When confronted with the prospect of declining health, Lydia makes a decisive choice: she enters an institutional care facility and legally forbids her husband from visiting. Her final act of patronage becomes paradoxical—she removes herself from his life to patronize his artistic career. "Write. Make music. Please be happy. I love you," she tells him (Rose, 2016, p. 117) ^[12]. Through her absence, Lydia offers a gift intended to enable his creative freedom. This gesture reveals how women's patronage can operate through sacrifice and withdrawal, repositioning women from agents of cultural production to facilitators of others' work. Yet it also raises troubling questions about the asymmetrical expectations placed upon women to subordinate their own needs and desires to enable others' flourishing.

Lydia's architectural vision and ultimate self-removal reveal what gender theorist Cecelia Greer has termed "situational agency"—the exercise of power that is contextual, contingent, and constrained by patriarchal structures even as it enables significant cultural intervention (Henry Moore Institute, 2025) ^[8]. Women architects and designers, according to contemporary scholarship, must navigate "tensions between empowerment and structural constraints," often finding that their authority is recognized professionally while remaining devalued domestically and

personally (Academia.edu, 2025) ^[2]. Lydia's trajectory thus embodies the double consciousness of women patrons: their capacity to shape culture and create institutions coexists with the fragmentation of their own identities and relationships. Her architectural patronage becomes an expression of creative vision precisely because she must sublimate personal attachment and domestic presence, trading visibility and authority in professional spheres for invisibility and absence in intimate ones.

Institutional Mediation and Embodied Labor: Francesca Lang, Marina Abramović, and the Performance of Cultural Support

While Lydia embodies patronage through creative production, Francesca Lang and Marina Abramović represent alternative models grounded in institutional mediation and embodied artistic labor. Francesca, the wife of Marina's long-time agent Dieter Lang, functions as an architect of professional relationships and emotional sustenance. The novel reveals that "It had been Francesca who arranged the lunch where at last Dieter and Marina agreed on a working relationship" (Rose, 2016, p. 50) ^[12]. This seemingly minor detail—a lunch arrangement—encapsulates the often-invisible labor through which women facilitate artistic collaboration. Francesca's cultural work involves recognizing compatibility, creating productive space for relationships to develop, and mediating between different forms of ambition and expertise. She functions as a patron of her husband's patronage, recognizing that Marina's success requires not merely Dieter's professional representation but his emotional investment and complete devotion.

The novel's portrait of Francesca illuminates the gendered double bind confronting women who facilitate others' ambitions. As the narrative observes, "Despite it being 2010, Francesca was surprised how often she had to defend the desire for success in a woman. If anything, it ought to be encouraged, Francesca thought. How tired she was, after all they had fought for, to find the ambitious woman still painted as the femme fatale, lacking in empathy, selfish, threatening—no matter how much she gave of herself to the world" (Rose, 2016, p. 51) ^[12]. This passage articulates a fundamental constraint shaping women's patronage: women must simultaneously justify their own investments in success while defending their protégées against characterizations of ruthlessness or selfishness. Women patrons bear the burden of performing not merely competence but also emotional attunement, care, and self-sacrifice. Contemporary scholarship on gender and institutional work confirms that "professional women actively (re)produce their bodies in relation to surrounding norms" and must engage in continuous "embodied identity work" to conform to gendered organizational expectations (Jammaers & Ybema, 2025) ^[9].

Thirty-four years of marriage to Dieter have taught Francesca that institutional support requires perpetual emotional labor and strategic mediation. Yet the novel suggests that her investment remains meaningful precisely because of its depth and commitment. As the narrator reflects, "Thirty-four years they had been married. Thirty-four years, four children, five grandchildren, Berlin to New York, and how did they stay this way, where she knew him so intimately that nothing was new, and yet he was still a mystery to himself? And the reverse was true, Francesca

thought. Perhaps that was the way of long marriage. As they got older, they could never lose track of themselves. They had the other to remind them" (Rose, 2016, p. 63) ^[12]. Francesca's patronage thus operates through sustained relationship, emotional knowledge, and the mutual recognition that characterizes long-term partnership. Her role as cultural patron becomes inseparable from her role as wife, lover, and witness—dimensions of identity that institutional histories typically erase.

Marina Abramović's *The Artist is Present* constitutes a radically different form of patronage grounded in embodied performance and the gift of presence. Through seventy-five days of unmoving stillness, Abramović offers herself as a cultural offering to vast numbers of visitors. The scale of the performance's impact is extraordinary: "Since the show began on 9 March, more than three hundred and fifty thousand people have come to see this one work of art. The artist is at her table" (Rose, 2016, p. 68) ^[12]. Through her radical availability and unmoving attention, Abramović patronizes the human need for recognition and the desire to be truly seen by another consciousness. The novel captures the profound emotional effects of this exchange through descriptions of visitors experiencing moments of genuine encounter, often accompanied by tears, emotional release, and spiritual transformation.

Yet the novel emphasizes the bodily costs of such patronage. The performance of cultural patronage through the female body entails vulnerability, physical risk, and potential permanent damage. The narrator notes that "Marina knew her legs were swelling. Her ribs were sinking into her organs," yet she remained committed to completing the seventy-five-day duration of the work (Rose, 2016, p. 51) ^[12]. Abramović's patronage emerges partly from trauma and historical wounding—her childhood in war-torn Yugoslavia shaped her understanding of art as a site for processing violence, loss, and the possibility of human connection. The novel suggests that her capacity for such radical embodied presence derives from a particular vulnerability: she has learned to endure, to remain motionless before potential harm, to offer herself without guarantee of reciprocal care or recognition.

The contrast between Lydia's architectural patronage and Marina's embodied performance reveals how women's cultural agency operates across multiple registers—the spatial, the relational, the corporal. Both forms of patronage involve the transformation of women's bodies, labor, and presence into cultural gifts. Both demand extraordinary commitment and cost the women involved in significant ways—fragmentation of identity, bodily suffering, the sublimation of personal needs to collective cultural good. Yet both also represent distinctive exercises of power and vision, ways of shaping culture that exceed traditional male-centered models of patronage. Contemporary scholarship on "female agency" emphasizes that women's exercise of power "might not align with the initial expectations of researchers," revealing that women have developed diverse strategies for increasing "visibility, demonstrat[ing] a cluster of valuable skills, and thereby creat[ing] special kinds of social and economic mobility" within constrained circumstances (Henry Moore Institute, 2025) ^[8]. Lydia and Marina embody precisely such strategic adaptations, translating the constraints placed upon them into distinctive forms of cultural intervention and transformation.

The novel also introduces Jane Miller, a museum administrator overseeing logistics for *The Artist is Present*, and Healyas Breen, a musician who sustains creative community through hospitality and collaborative artistry. Jane's institutional labor—unglamorous administrative work that makes public performance possible—represents another invisible dimension of women's patronage. Healyas's practice of feeding "anyone creative" and inviting artists to collaborate represents yet another form of patronage grounded in hospitality and the creation of spaces where artistic work can flourish. These figures, collectively, demonstrate that women's cultural agency operates through multiple, often overlapping forms: architectural vision, institutional mediation, embodied performance, administrative labor, and communal sustenance. Each form of patronage involves distinctive exercises of power, creativity, and vulnerability, each reveals the ways that patriarchal structures both enable and constrain women's cultural authority.

Conclusion

Heather Rose's *The Museum of Modern Love* articulates a sophisticated understanding of women's patronage as a constitutive yet often-invisible dimension of cultural production. The novel demonstrates that women exercise cultural authority through diverse means: the creation of aesthetic spaces (Lydia), the facilitation of institutional relationships (Francesca), the embodied performance of artistic presence (Marina), the administration of cultural institutions (Jane), and the sustenance of creative communities (Healyas). Yet the novel simultaneously illuminates the costs and constraints accompanying women's cultural agency—the fragmentation of their own creative ambitions, the emotional labor required to sustain others' work, the bodily and psychological risks entailed in performance, and the historical erasure of women's contributions to cultural production.

Early in the novel, a narrator speaks directly about the historical suppression of women artists: "I HAVE STOOD BESIDE ARTISTS a very long time. I was there at the rape trial of Artemisia Gentileschi.. I was there for two decades as Dorothea Therbusch gave her life to her children until at last, when her vile mother-in-law died, she resumed the career she was born to. It was I who visited Camille Claudel in the insane asylum, her brilliant hands idle" (Rose, 2016, p. 15) ^[12]. This meditation on historical injustice implicitly interrogates the structures of patronage itself: who gets to patronize? Who receives patronage? How do gender hierarchies determine access to the material and social conditions necessary for artistic production? The novel's engagement with these questions suggests that understanding women's patronage requires grappling simultaneously with historical erasure and contemporary efforts to rebalance institutional power.

Contemporary scholarship confirms that women have "consistently reshaped canons, founded institutions, and exercised institutional power," yet such contributions remain inadequately recognized and theorized (*Museum of Modern Art*, 2025) ^[11]. The examples of contemporary patrons like Alice Walton, Maja Hoffmann, and Agnes Gund demonstrate that women continue to transform cultural institutions through founding museums, endowing curatorial positions, strategically collecting overlooked artists, and building coalitions for collective giving. Yet

these achievements occur within contexts of persistent gender inequality, where women patrons still face "second-class status" on boards and institutional committees even while contributing equal or greater financial resources than male counterparts (Museum of Modern Art, 2025) ^[11].

Rose's literary representation functions as a form of cultural patronage itself, offering visibility and complexity to women's roles in sustaining and transforming artistic culture. By centering the experiences and decisions of Lydia, Francesca, Marina, Jane, and Healayas, the novel performs what gender theorist Linda Nochlin identified as a crucial intervention: questioning foundational disciplinary premises that have historically excluded women from cultural narratives (Henry Moore Institute, 2025) ^[8]. The novel suggests that understanding women as patrons requires abandoning hierarchical models of support in favor of relational frameworks that acknowledge interdependence, mutual sustenance, and the ways that artistic culture depends upon networks of care, facilitation, and vision-sharing that exceed traditional categories of patronage.

Ultimately, Rose's novel suggests that recovering women as central figures in cultural production requires transforming how we understand patronage itself—moving from models of vertical support to frameworks acknowledging reciprocal sustenance, collective labor, and the diverse forms through which women have shaped artistic vision, institutional practice, and cultural meaning across time. By centering women's contributions to these networks and recognizing the varied forms through which they exercise cultural authority, we might begin to reconstruct a history of art and culture that reflects actual social relations and acknowledges the full complexity of human creative endeavor.

References

1. AG NB. Learning styles and theories: Understanding diversity in learning processes to enhance problem-solving skills. *Jamal Academic Research Journal: An Interdisciplinary*, 2025, 6(2).
2. Academia.edu. Women patrons research papers, 2025. <https://academia.edu>
3. Bharathi PP, Basha AN, Sharif YP. The plight of octogenarian women in Daisy Rockwell's *Tomb of Sand*. *Jamal Academic Research Journal: An Interdisciplinary*, 2024, 5(3).
4. CV MS, Anwar MM. Exploring socio-economic disparities and power dynamics in Aravind Adiga's *White Tiger*: A critical analysis. *Jamal Academic Research Journal: An Interdisciplinary*, 2024, 5(1).
5. Fiveable. 2.1 Royal and aristocratic women as art patrons, 2024. <https://fiveable.me>
6. Habeeb MM, Sait MS. Indigenous trauma, identity, and resilience in the select novels of Tabish Khair. *Jamal Academic Research Journal: An Interdisciplinary*, 2025, 6(2).
7. Habeeb MM, Sait MS. Psychological and cultural trauma: Exploring alienation in the select novels of Tabish Khair. *The Yeats Journal of Korea*, 2025;76:153–169.
8. Henry Moore Institute. Embodied ideals: Gender and sculpture. Workshop proceedings on European public sculpture, 2025. <https://henry-moore.org>
9. Jammaers K, Ybema S. Materiality and embodied identity work among professional mothers. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 2025;46(2):215–237.

10. Anwar MM, Aishwarya S. Reimagining ESL training through human–AI synergy: Advancing pedagogy with machine learning innovation. *International Journal of English and Studies (IJOES)*, 2025;7(9):314–328.
11. Museum of Modern Art. Women art patrons and philanthropists: The hidden power behind the canvas. MoMA Publications, 2025. <https://momaa.org>
12. Rose H. *The museum of modern love*. Scribe Publications, 2016.
13. Sathik M, Kamatchi G. The politics of watching: Arab-American life in Aisha Gawad's *Between Two Moons*. *SELP Journal of Social Science*, 2025;16(63):12–19.